



CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES¹

Deliverable title	D1.3 Workshop on master curricula best practices in EU countries
Work package	WP1 – Analysis of natural disasters needed to be managed in the Western Balkans region
Author	Sally Priest
Date	Deliverables completed - October 2017

This Annex S covers 3 reports:

- Catalogue of competencies v.01, 18/04/2017
- Workshop report form 07 April 2017
- Report on master curricula best practices in EU partner countries v.01, 05/10/2017

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Please complete the form and submit it by email Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com) and WP leader. The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be	Assessment	Comments	Reccomendations	
	addressed				
Compliance with NatRisk objectives	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the	∑ Yes □ No □ Partially			
	project?	`			
Compliance with the specfic objectives of the WP	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Yes No Partially			
Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Yes No Partially			
Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format – Annex B?	Yes No Partially	Yes – completed in the correct template and information clear and comprehensive		
Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: - Sources used, - Bibliography, - List of contacts, - Methodology used.	Yes No Partially	Yes all of the attachments from the workshop was also provided.		
Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	ExcellentAdequatePoor			
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement	Very comprehensive reporting – very good considerations for improvements of the workshop.				
Deadline for submiss	ion of amended version of the deliverable n/a				

n/a